

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION C Arizona Corporation Commission 2 **COMMISSIONERS** DOCKETED MIKE GLEASON - Chairman APR 16 2007 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL 4 JEFF HATCH-MILLER DOCKETED BY KRISTIN K. MAYES 5 **GARY PIERCE** 6 DOCKET NO. E-02217A-06-0489 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT FOR 69422 AN ORDER AUTHORIZING ITS ISSUANCE OF REVENUE BONDS AND REFUNDING **ORDER** 9 REVENUE BONDS. 10 Open Meeting April 11 and 12, 2007 Phoenix, Arizona 11 BY THE COMMISSION: 12 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the 13 Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that: 14

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District ("SRP") is an agricultural improvement district duly organized and existing under Title 48, Chapter 17, Arizona Revised Statutes. SRP is a political subdivision of the State of Arizona pursuant to Article 13, Section 7 of the Arizona Constitution.
- 2. SRP is principally engaged in the purchase and sale of electricity in Maricopa, Pinal and Gila Counties in Arizona, and the generation of electricity in the states of Arizona, New Mexico, Nevada and Colorado, primarily for sale in Arizona. SRP provides electric power to over 892,000 customers in its 2,900 square mile service territory in major populated sections of Maricopa County, Arizona, as well as portions of Pinal and Gila Counties, where it serves mining load requirements.
- 3. On July 28, 2006, SRP filed an application with the Commission for an order authorizing the issuance of up to \$1.2 billion in revenue bonds and up to \$1.3 billion in refunding

28

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

13 14

16

17

15

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

bonds ("Application"). Pursuant to A.R.S. § 48-2465.B, SRP must secure "an order authorizing the issuance of such bonds in accordance with those provisions of § 40-302 pertaining to the issuance of bonds."

- 4. SRP published notice of the Application on September 8, 2006, in the Arizona Republic.
- 5. SRP has a Capital Improvement Program for the fiscal years 2007 through 2012, and estimates its capital expenditure requirements for that time period to be approximately \$4.77 billion. Distribution plant is the largest category of expenditures and is estimated to require approximately \$1.29 billion of expenditures. The remaining projected areas of need are electric generation, approximately \$1.06 billion; and transmission, approximately \$322 million.
- 6. On February 20, 2007, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Staff Report on the Application, recommending approval. The Staff Report indicated that comments on the Staff Report should be filed on or before March 2, 2007.
 - 7. On March 2, 2007, SRP filed comments in support of the Staff Report.
- 8. SRP commented that it accepts Staff's recommendation for an expiration date of December 31, 2014, for the revenue bond authority. SRP further noted that Staff recommended no similar expiration date for SRP's requested revenue refunding bond authority, and that such a "sunset" date should not be imposed on revenue refunding bonds.
- 9. SRP requested in its comments that the compliance filing requirements for the requested authority include the filing of pertinent documents, but that, in conformance with past practice, SRP not be required to include copies of all notes memorializing the authorized transactions.
- 10. SRP commented that Staff's standardized calculation for debt service coverage differs from that used by political subdivisions such as SRP, and that SRP believes Staff's calculation results in an underestimation of the strength of SRP's financial status resulting from actual financing

transactions. 1

3 4 5

2

6 7

9

11

10

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

SRP intends to issue up to \$1,200,000,000 of revenue bonds to finance costs of 11. construction and acquisition of improvements, additions, replacements, extensions and betterments to SRP's electric system including, but not limited to the purchase, construction, acquisition and installation of electric generating facilities, power supplies, transmission lines, distribution lines, substations, and related facilities, assets (including fuel and fuel related assets), and equipment necessary therefore, and financing costs related thereto.

- 12. The revenue bonds will be issued for a maximum term of fifty years, and will be marketed through underwriters or sold in private placements, or at the option of SRP, at a publicly advertised, competitive sale on the basis of the best bid received. The sale of the revenue bonds may be in several increments, with the timing, frequency and amount of the sale of each such increment to be determined by SRP, depending upon construction needs and upon capital market conditions. The amount, maturity and interest rate of each series will depend upon capital market conditions and SRP's bond rating at the time of the transaction.
- The Commission's Engineering Staff reviewed the Application and SRP's 2007-2012 13. Capital Improvement Program. Staff concluded that the generation, transmission and distribution projects included in SRP's 2007-2012 Capital Improvement Program are appropriate to meet the projected needs of SRP's new customers and to ensure system reliability, and that the cost estimates and expenditure levels associated with the Capital Improvement Program appear to be reasonable. The Staff Engineering Report notes that SRP is requesting approval for only a portion of the total expenditures for its 2007-2012 Capital Improvement Program, and that SRP plans to finance the remaining expenditures using internal resources.
- 14. SRP also intends to issue up to \$1,300,000,000 of refunding bonds in order to take advantage of reduced interest rates to lower its debt service requirements when future market

conditions present opportunities to do so. The Staff Report states that since the proceeds of the refunding revenue bonds would be used to repay existing debt, their issuance will not result in additional outstanding debt.

- 15. The refunding revenue bonds will be issued for a maximum term of fifty years, and will be marketed through underwriters or sold in private placements, or at the option of SRP, at a publicly advertised, competitive sale on the basis of the best bid received. The amount, maturity and interest rate of each refunding revenue bond series will depend upon capital market conditions and SRP's bond rating at the time of the transaction.
- 16. The sale of the refunding revenue bonds may be in several increments, with the timing, frequency and amount of the sale of each such increment to be determined by SRP, based on a number of criteria, including but not limited to interest rates on outstanding bonds, current market interest rates, the cost of funding an escrow, call provisions on refunding candidates, and potential debt savings. The Staff Report states that SRP's primary decision-making criterion for a refunding is the aggregate net present value savings that could be realized through the refunding as a percentage of the par amount of the bonds to be refunded. Staff states that historically, SRP has not refunded long-term debt unless the net present value savings are 7 percent or greater, and for short term debt, SRP considers that savings must be a minimum of 3 percent.
- 17. SRP's current bond ratings are AA by Standard and Poor's and Aa1 by Moody's Investors Service.
- 18. As of April 30, 2006, SRP had \$2,532,453,351 in outstanding refunding revenue bond authorizations.
- 19. The Staff Report states that both the revenue bonds and the refunding revenue bonds would be secured by a pledge of, and a lien on, the revenues of SRP's electric system after deducting operating expenses.

20.

13, 2006, ("2006 Series A Bonds") and the proposed \$1.2 billion in revenue bonds, SRP's total capitalization would be \$7,692,748,067, consisting of 2.1 percent short-term debt, 57.1 percent long-term debt, and 40.8 percent common equity.

21. Based upon SRP's historical financial information for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2006, Staff performed a pro forma financial analysis to estimate the effect of SRP's issuance of the

with 2.1 percent short-term debt, 46.9 percent long-term debt, and 50.9 percent common equity.

Under Staff's pro forma analysis including both the \$296 million of revenue bonds SRP issued July

Staff states that total capitalization for SRP as of April 30, 2006 was \$6,165,225,000,

2006,¹ Staff performed a pro forma financial analysis to estimate the effect of SRP's issuance of the proposed \$1.2 billion in revenue bonds. Staff's analysis assumes a 4.35 percent annual interest rate and a 25 year amortization, based on current market conditions as published by the Value Line Investment Survey, Selection and Opinion, September 29, 2006, page 911. Staff also performed a second "stress test" scenario assuming a 6 percent annual interest rate, which is the highest interest rate paid by SRP in its currently outstanding bonds, and a 5 year amortization.

- 22. For the fiscal year ended April 30, 2006, and including the 2006 Series A Bonds, Staff calculated SRP's current Times Interest Earned Ratio ("TIER") to be 1.99 and its Debt Service Coverage ("DSC") ratio to be 1.40.² Staff stated that SRP would also be able to meet all obligations under Staff's "stress test" analysis.
- 23. Based on its analysis, Staff concluded that SRP's proposed issuance of new debt to finance plant additions and to refinance existing debt is within SRP's organizational powers, is

¹ Staff's pro forma analysis also included the 2006 Series A Bonds, which have a fixed interest rate of 5 percent and an average term for the series of 30 years.

² The TIER represents the number of times earnings cover interest expense on short-term and long-term debt. A TIER greater than 1.0 means that operating income is greater than interest expense. A TIER less than 1.0 is not sustainable in the long term but does not mean that debt obligations cannot be met in the short term.

The DSC ratio represents the number of times internally generated cash will cover required principal and interest payments on short-term and long-term debt. A DSC ratio greater than 1.0 indicates that operating cash flow is sufficient to cover debt obligations. A DSC less than 1.0 means that debt service obligations cannot be met by cash generated from operations and that another source of funds is needed to avoid default.

compatible with the public interest, is consistent with sound financial practices and will not impair its ability to provide services.

- 24. Staff recommends that the Commission authorize SRP's request to issue revenue bonds not to exceed \$1,200,000,000 to fund its capital expenditures for fiscal years 2007-2012 and an expiration of any unused revenue bond issuance on December 31, 2014.
- 25. Staff further recommends that the Commission authorize SRP's request to issue refunding revenue bonds not to exceed \$1,300,000,000 to refund existing revenue bonds.
- 26. Staff further recommends that the Commission authorize SRP to engage in any transactions and to execute any documents necessary to effectuate the authorizations granted.
- 27. Staff further recommends that SRP be required to file with the Commission's Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, within 60 days of the execution of any financing transaction authorized herein, a copy of all notes and other documents memorializing the transaction and a written summary providing an overview of the transaction that includes, but is not limited to, the business rationale for the transaction, the terms and conditions of the transaction, and a demonstration that the rates and terms were consistent with those generally available to comparable entities at the time.
- 28. Staff's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted. SRP should be required to provide pertinent documents memorializing the authorized financing transactions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Commission has jurisdiction over the Application pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 40-302 and 48-2465.B.
- 2. The proposed issuance by SRP of the revenue bonds and the refunding revenue bonds as requested in the Application are within the powers of SRP as an agricultural improvement district pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 48-2465 and 48-2471, and are compatible with the public interest.

3. The revenue and refunding revenue bonding authority approved herein is for the purposes stated in the Application and is reasonably necessary for those purposes, and such purposes are not, wholly or in part, reasonably chargeable to operating expenses or to income.

4. Notice of the Application was given in accordance with the law.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Application of Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District for authorization to issue revenue bonds in an amount not to exceed \$1.2 billion to fund its capital expenditures for fiscal years 2007-2012 as described herein is hereby approved, with an expiration of any unused revenue bond issuance authorization on December 31, 2014.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Application of Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District for authorization to issue an amount not to exceed \$1.3 billion in refunding revenue bonds as described herein is hereby approved.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the authorizations granted herein are expressly conditioned upon the application by Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District of the proceeds derived thereby for those purposes set forth in the Application.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District is hereby authorized to engage in any transactions and to execute any documents necessary to effectuate the authorizations herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District shall file with the Commission's Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, within 60 days of the execution of any financing transaction authorized herein, a copy of pertinent documents memorializing the transaction and a written summary providing an overview of the transaction that includes, but is not limited to, the business rationale for the transaction, the terms and

1	conditions of the transaction, and a demonstration that the rates and terms were consistent with those		
2	generally available to comparable entities at the time.		
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.		
4	DV ODDED OF THE ADIZONA CODDODATION COMMISSION		
5	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.		
6	//// @M Q00		
7	Loud Islam William / William		
8	CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER		
9	Just 10 0:00 FM - Call		
10	COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER		
11	DI WITNESS WHEDEOF I DRIAN C MANEEL Francisco		
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have		
13	hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix,		
14	this $\frac{16^{4-}}{}$ day of ${}$, 2007.		
15 16	BRIAN C. McNEIL		
17	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR		
18	DISSENT		
19	DISSENT		
20	1 w:dr		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT	
2	DOCKET NO.:	E-02217A-06-0489	
3			
4	Jessica J. Youle Kelly J. Barr SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT P.O. Box 52025, PAB 207 Phoenix, Arizona 85072-2025		
5			
6			
7			
8	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIC 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Ernest G. Johnson, Director Utilities Division	ON I	
9		JN .	
10			
11			
12			
13	Phoenix, Arizona 85007		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			